EXTERNAL REVIEW OF THE QUEENSLAND OPERATIONS OF THE STAR ENTERTAINMENT GROUP LIMITED

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE ROBERT GOTTERSON AO

Counsel Assisting: Mr J.M. Horton QC and Ms A.R. Hellewell

For Star Entertainment Group Limited, Star Entertainment Queensland Pty Ltd and The Star Entertainment Custodian Pty Ltd: Mr G.D. Beacham QC

On Thursday, 14 July 2022

.14/07/2022

MR GOTTERSON: This is the initial public hearing of 1 Inquiry into the operation of certain Queensland casinos. 2 3 May have the appearances, please. 4 5 MR HORTON: Yes. If it please you, Mr Gotterson, my name is Horton, initials JM, of Queen's Counsel. I'm appearing 6 with my learned friend Ms Hellewell, H-e-I-I-e-w-e-I-I. 7 initial A. We have been appointed to assist you in this 8 9 Inquiry and the review. 10 11 MR GOTTERSON: Thank you Mr Horton. And Mr Beacham. 12 MR BEACHAM: Thank you, Your Honour. Beacham, initials GD. 13 Queen's Counsel. I'm instructed by King and Wood Mallesons 14 on behalf of three entities that have been granted leave to 15 appear: Star Entertainment Group Limited, Star 16 17 Entertainment Queensland Pty Ltd and The Star Entertainment 18 Custodian Pty Ltd. 19 MR GOTTERSON: Thank you. I have been appointed to conduct 20 this Inquiry under s.91 of the Casino Control Act 1982 21 Queensland. It is into the Queensland operations of the 22 Star Entertainment Group Limited. 23 24 This Inquiry function has all the powers, authorities, 25 26 rights, privileges, protection and jurisdiction of a Commission of Inquiry under the Commissions of Inquiry Act 27 1950 Queensland. That is Part A of the terms of reference 28 29 and for that purpose I have been appointed an officer of 30 the Department of Justice and Attorney-General. 31 I have also been appointed to give advice to inform an 32 33 ongoing suitability investigation under s.30 of the Casino Control Act. That investigation is one by the Department 34 and concerns whether the Star Entertainment Group Limited's 35 licensees are suitable to hold their casino licences in 36 37 Queensland. 38 The Star Entertainment Qld Custodian Pty Ltd holds the 39 licence for The Star Gold Coast and the Star Entertainment 40 41 Qld Limited holds the licence for the Treasury Brisbane. A 42 separate casino licence is held for the Queens Wharf 43 development. That is Part B of the Terms of Reference.

44 45

46

47

Part C of the Terms of Reference concerns my giving consideration to whether any improvements to casino procedures, regulations and legislation are warranted and

1	making recommendations in that regard.
2 3 4 5	I am bound to conduct this Inquiry and review within the scope of those Terms of Reference.
6 7 8 9	The external review which comprises these three parts was established against the background of there having been in recent times allegations of money laundering, criminal infiltration and other integrity issues in casinos in Australia.
2 3 4 5 6 7	There have been Inquiries in other Australian States and they have found shortcomings in the way casinos have operated. The only Inquiries which have been concluded to finality concern Crown Resorts Limited which, I might say, has no relationship to the Star Entertainment Group Limited.
19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Bell SC in Sydney is presently finalising his Inquiry under the Casino Control Act 1992 New South Wales into The Star Pty Ltd. That entity holds the licence for The Star Casino in Sydney. Mr Bell's enquiry has heard of issues regarding the operation of that casino. He is due to deliver his final report to the New South Wales Independent Liquor and Gaming Authority by 31 August this year.
26 27 28 29	Evidence presented in that Inquiry, and allegations made elsewhere, have raised concerns regarding the operation of The Star Sydney.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The shared governance and operational arrangements within The Star Group, and the conduct of The Star Group entities more broadly, are said, within my Terms of Reference, to give context for the inquiry and review which I am charged with undertaking.

 I am to complete my review and give my report and advice to the Attorney-General and Minister for Justice, Minister for Woman and Minister for the Prevention of Domestic and Family Violence by 30 September 2022.

This means that there is need for the work of this Inquiry and review, for those assisting me, and for the parties who appear before me, to be expeditious and efficient so that I can fulfil what the Terms of Reference require. It is important then that our work move with considerable vigour and focus and this does include the public hearings which

1 are presently planned to take place in late August 2022. 2 Finally, I would encourage those who have information 3 relevant to the Terms of Reference to provide that 4 information by making contact with the solicitors assisting 5 the Review. If that material is such as requires it to be 6 explored with witnesses it should be provided as soon as 7 8 possible. 9 Mr Horton and Ms Hellewell. 10 11 MR HORTON: Thank you, Mr Gotterson. Your inquiry and 12 review comes against the background of concern about 13 casinos operating interstate, as vou've mentioned. 14 Mr Gotterson, and in particular The Star Sydney, the 15 licence holder for which is The Star Pty Ltd. 16 17 Part C of your Terms of Reference charge you with looking 18 into the operation of The Star Group casinos here in 19 20 Queensland and within the wider context of the events in 21 the sector over the past few years in Australia. 22 23 The first casinos in Queensland were purpose built. First on the Gold Coast, it was the very first in Queensland, 24 opened in November 1985 as Conrad Jupiters. In 2017 it was 25 26 re-branded as The Star Gold Coast. In 1986 the Sheraton Breakwater Hotel and Casino was established in Townsville. 27 28 There is also a casino in Cairns, The Reef Casino. That 29 licence was granted in 1996. 30 The casinos in Townsville and Cairns are not part of The 31 Star Group and are therefore not within Parts A or B of 32 your Terms of Reference. 33 34 The first Brisbane casino took over the former Treasury 35 36 building in 1995 and has operated from there ever since. 37 The Star, I use that term generically for a moment, is a 38 39 50 per cent joint venturer with two Hong Kong based companies in the Queens Wharf development here in Brisbane. Destination 40 Brisbane Consortium Integrated Resorts Operations Pty Ltd 41 42 holds the licence for the yet to open, but well advanced, Star Brisbane casino. 43 44 45 Arrangements envisage The Star entity giving up its licence for the Treasury Brisbane as Queens Wharf commences 46 47 operation. At present Queens Wharf is expected,

ı	wir Gotterson, to open early next year.
2	Variations of Defending involve asked to action as
	Your Terms of Reference involve mixed functions, as you
4	you've mentioned, Mr Gotterson. May we tender a copy so
5	that that formality is satisfied.
6	
7	MR GOTTERSON: Yes.
8	
9	MR HORTON: I tender the Terms of Reference.
10	
11	MR HORTON: This Terms of Reference is received and will be
12	marked in accordance with the document management protocol
13	that I expect that we will set up. No doubt, Mr Beacham,
14	you've got
15	,
16	MR BEACHAM: No objection.
17	
18	MR GOTTERSON: a copy of the Terms of Reference?
19	With Contraction a dopy of the forms of Relations.
20	MR BEACHAM: Yes, thank you very much.
21	TWIN DEPOSITION. 100, thank you very maon.
22	MR GOTTERSON: Good, thank you.
23	WIN GOTTENGON. Good, thank you.
23 24	MR HORTON: In Part A of those Terms of Reference, as
	•
25	you've mentioned, Mr Gotterson, there's Inquiry under s.91
26	of the Casino Control Act 1982 of this State. As Inquirer
27	you have all the powers, authorities, rights, privileges,
28	protections, jurisdiction of a Commission Inquiry under the
29	Queensland Commissions of Inquiry Act. Those powers attend
30	an inquiry into the operation of a casino, and this phrase,
31	in our submission, is important.
32	·
33	The Casino Control Act defines casino operations as
34	follows:
35	
36	The operation and conduct in relation to a
37	casino of any of the following:
38	(a) gaming;
39	(b) money counting, surveillance
40	
	accounting, storage and other activities in
41	connection with the operation and conduct
42	of gaming.
43	
44	Only two casinos in The Star Group are presently operating
45	in this State, Treasury Brisbane and The Star Gold Coast,
46	we would expect, therefore, the focus of the Inquiry part
47	of your function will be on these casinos, which have been

1	and continue to operate now.
2	Second in Part P there is as you've mentioned
3 4	Second, in Part B there is, as you've mentioned, Mr Gotterson, an advice function as to what is said to be
5	an ongoing suitability review of Star Group licensees to
6	hold casino licences, and The Star Group, to be associated
7	with the operation of a casino under the Act.
8	with the operation of a casino under the Act.
9	There are three relevant casino licences: The Star Gold
10	Coast, the holder of which is The Star Entertainment
11	Queensland Custodian Pty Ltd, Treasury Brisbane, the
12	licensee for which is The Star Entertainment Qld Limited.
13	Third, for the forthcoming Queens Wharf development,
14	Destination Consortium Brisbane Integrated Resort
15	Operations Pty Ltd. We take these to be The Star Group
16	licensees referred to in Part B of your Terms of Reference.
17	
18	The Department of Justice and Attorney-General commenced a
19	formal suitability investigation on 28 June 2022, but
20	before this time Inspectors under the Casino Control Act in
21	Queensland had been collecting material to inform its work,
22	including by issuing requisitions to The Star. Five
23	requisitions in all have been issued and have been answered
24	to date.
25	This material has been calleted and carbon issued a
26	This material has been collated and you have issued a
27	summons to the Office of Liquor and Gaming Regulation so
28 29	that you may have access, as part of your inquiry and review, to what was provided by The Star to the OLGR. The
30	Star indicated it did not have been opposition to this
31	course and we're grateful for that indication.
32	oodioo and wore grateral for that indication.
33	The third part of your function, Part C, is to consider and
34	make recommendations about whether improvements should be
35	made to casino procedures, regulations legislation and
36	whether that's warranted to enhance integrity, minimise the
37	potential for harm, ensure probity and restore public
38	confidence in casino operations.
39	·
40	Over the past few years there have been inquiries and
41	investigations into casinos in Victoria by The Honourable
42	Ray Finkelstein AO, Western Australia chaired by The
43	Honourable Neville Owen AO and New South Wales by the
44	Honourable Patty Bergin SC.

46

47

Independent Inquiries in those States found some Crown

Resorts entities unsuitable to operate a casino or hold a

casino licence. You have been provided with each of these 1 reports. While they provide context about relevant events 2 in this sector, it's important, in our respectful 3 4 submission, to bear in mind that these Inquiries did not 5 concern the activities of or operations of any entity within The Star Group, or its casinos and, as you've said, 6 7 Mr Gotterson, with respect quite correctly, there is no relationship between The Star Group and the Crown Resorts. 8 9 at least in a corporate sense. 10

11

In recent weeks South Australia announced an independent review of the licensee of the casino in Adelaide. There that's the SkyCity Entertainment Group.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

12

The Star itself has been the subject of Inquiry in New South Wales conducted by Mr Bell SC which began in September of last year. That Inquiry has conducted public hearings over some 46 days and has already heard closing oral submissions and is scheduled to deliver its final report on 31 August 2022.

20 21 22

23

24

25

That Inquiry concerned The Star Casino Sydney, but that evidence may inform your work in this Inquiry. We would like to explain several reasons why we make that submission.

26 27

28 29

30 31

First, the Star Entertainment Group Limited is the ultimate holding company for two of the three casinos in Queensland. The Star Gold Coast and Treasury Brisbane, and for 50 per cent of the Queens Wharf development. The ultimate holding company is the same as for the entity that holds The Star Sydney licence.

32 33 34

Could we tender a corporate family tree of The Star Entertainment Group Limited for your reference, Mr Gotterson.

36 37 38

39

35

MR GOTTERSON: Yes. Thank you. That will also be received as an exhibit and in due course will be marked in accordance with the document management protocol.

40 41 42

43

44

45

MR HORTON: Thank you. Mr Gotterson, the column on the far left-hand side is, in effect, the Sydney arm of the Group and you'll see there in the second row of the first column The Star Pty Ltd is there as the licensee of the Sydney Star.

1	MR GOTTERSON: Yes.
2 3 4	MR HORTON: On the fourth column from the left we understand to be the Queensland entities, and you'll see
5	there the Star Entertainment Queensland Custodian in the
6	second row of the fourth column, that is the Gold Coast
7 8	casino licence holder.
9	MR GOTTERSON: Yes.
10	
11	MR HORTON: And above it The Star Entertainment Queensland
12	Limited, being the holder of the Treasury licence for
13 14	Brisbane. And then the third-last column on the right we understand to be, at this early stage, those entities
15	associated with the Queens Wharf development most directly,
16	but we'll make further inquiries about that. That's just
17	our present understanding. And you'll see the ultimate
18	parent there is The Star Entertainment Group Limited.
19	
20	MR GOTTERSON: The name suggests that, doesn't it?
21 22	MR HORTON: Yes.
23	WINCTION. 100.
24	MR GOTTERSON: Referred Brisbane holdings.
25	MD HODTON W. T
26 27	MR HORTON: Yes. The second reason why we suggest that the
2 <i>1</i> 28	evidence in the Bell Inquiry may inform your work is that that Inquiry considered the suitability of The Star Pty Ltd
29	as licensee of The Star Sydney. As part of that
30	assessment, however, that Inquiry also considered corporate
31	close associates of The Star and their suitability, the
32	Star Entertainment Sydney Holdings Limited, which is the
33	immediate parent of The Star Pty Ltd in the far left-hand
34	column, EEI Services Hong Kong Limited and The Star
35	Entertainment Group, the latter being, of course, that
36 37	ultimate holding company which is common as the head of the
38	group.
39	Thirdly, it seems to us the governance of The Star Sydney
40	and of the Gold Coast and Treasury Brisbane casinos may
41	have had some shared elements and perhaps more than that.
42	
43	Fourthly, at an operational level a number of employees of
44 45	The Star Entertainment Group and/or The Star Pty Ltd seem
45 46	to have performed their functions jointly for The Star Sydney, The Star Gold Coast and, relevantly, Treasury
+0 47	Brisbane.

Fifthly, some key functions within The Star Group appear to be shared between the various casinos. One example is what is called treasury within The Star Group, which we think, at this stage, administered and oversaw the Group bank accounts. Here that seems to have involved dealing with National Australia Bank about queries from China UnionPay. The legal department of The Star Group was, we understand at this early stage, to have been common to all relevant Star entities. So too was a reporting, we think at this early stage, at a senior level to the Chief Risk Officer within the Group.

We mention these factors because in closing oral submissions to the Bell Inquiry, The Star Pty Ltd accepted that the evidence there in that context permits findings of significant deficiencies and failings due to behaviour not in adherence to the company's Code of Conduct and related risk and compliance policies approved by the Board.

As at the commencement of that Inquiry The Star Pty Ltd had accepted it had not been a suitable person to hold a casino licence.

The immediate parent of The Star Pty Ltd is, as I've mentioned, The Star Entertainment Sydney Holdings Limited. It accepted in the Bell Inquiry that it is open for that review to conclude that it was not suitable to be a close associate of the casino licence holder as at the commencement of the Inquiry, on the basis that it is a subsidiary of The Star Entertainment Group.

The conduct of other entities in The Star Group is, in any event, relevant to the advice you have been asked to give to the Attorney-General as part of the ongoing suitability investigation in Part B of the Terms of Reference.

The fact of a connection between the entities who operate and who are to operate casinos in Queensland and The Star Pty Ltd is relevant to an assessment of their suitability. Section 30 of the Queensland Casino Control Act speaks of all persons, and here that includes corporations, we submit, who are associated or connected or to be associated or connected with the ownership, administration management of the operations or business of the licensee, lessee or operator being suitable.

Both The Star Entertainment Sydney Holdings and The Star Entertainment Group submitted to the Bell Inquiry that they were, as at the date of closing submissions in that Inquiry, recognising there had been some 46 hearing days, that they were suitable to be close associates of The Star Pty Ltd, and much had occurred in the meantime, which we'll come to.

Your work, Mr Gotterson, concerns The Star Entertainment Group's licensees in Queensland. We have mention who they are earlier in these opening remarks.

The Queens Wharf development is not, as we said, operating at present and so will not be the subject, in our respectful submission, of the work we do in preparation for your s.91 Inquiry, but it would seem to fall, in our submission, within the parts of your work that are about suitability and enhanced regulation, being Parts B and C.

There may be reasons to distinguished what happened in Sydney from operations and activities in this State and we want to explore that as part of making submissions to you about whether, and to what extent, findings yet to be made by Mr Bell might be apt here and relevant to the Terms of Reference of this Inquiry and Review.

 One key question we want to ask is this: to what extent were any problems in New South Wales ones which came over the border either because of common governance and practices, or by gamblers excluded there being allowed entry to casinos here, or even being encouraged or incentivised to do so?

In the course of the interstate Inquiry many of the senior management of The Star resigned and had left. All of the board of The Star Entertainment Group, as we understand it, have changed since the commencement of the Bell Inquiry. This means the context in which your Inquiry takes place is rather different from when matters began in New South Wales in late last year and we intend, subject to your direction, Mr Gotterson, to act in light of that different context.

We are interested in pursuing within the Terms of Reference the following general lines of inquiry - I'll come to more specific ones in due course - but they are these.

1. Whether and to what extent the operations of the

casinos by The Star Group in Queensland are, for the purposes of your inquiry, Mr Gotterson, to be distinguished from its activities in 2017 to 2022 at The Star Casino Sydney.

2. The extent to which findings made, presently to be made, by Mr Bell SC in his review of The Star Pty Ltd under the Casino Control Act New South Wales are applicable for the purpose of your Inquiry.

 3. The incidence of person excluded from casinos interstate being permitted to enter Star Casinos in Queensland and any knowledge, involvement, encouragement of The Star in such occurrences.

4. Commitment to and compliance with anti-money laundering responsibilities, particularly in relation to high risk and high valued customers at The Star Queensland casinos.

5. The contribution of Star Queensland casinos to gambling harm and what resources, activities, systems it has that are directed to its minimalisation.

 6. The relationship with VIP patrons, high rollers, international patrons and, in particular, as to associated bank accounts, transfers, credits and deposits of The Star Queensland casinos.

7. Use of China UnionPay debit or credit facilities and other arrangements to facilitate gambling by Chinese nationals at Stars Queensland casinos.

 8. Commitment to and compliance with ensuring the probity of those involved in the conduct of gambling at Star's Queensland casinos, including those with links to criminal organisations.

9. Presence and detection of illegal and undesirable activities of people in casinos, including money lending and any loan sharking at Star's Queensland casinos.

10. Commitment to and compliance with restrictions with respect to credit, wages and other matters mentioned in section 66(1) of the Queensland Casino Control Act at Star's Queensland casinos.

11. Adequacy of the surveillance processes and facilities

at Star's Queensland casinos, including the use of facial recognition technology.

12. Compliance with the approved casino operations control system for The Star's Queensland casinos, including the accounting system and procedures in connection with the conduct of gaming.

13. The operation of junket operations and dealings with junket operators at Star's Queensland casinos, particularly in the present context, that is in contemporary times.

14. The Star's corporate culture in its Queensland's operations, with a focus on training, education and accountability compliance.

Much of the work on these lines of inquiry will be done with the benefit of material to be obtained. The Office of Liquor and Gaming Regulation, as we have said, have requisitioned material from The Star and a great deal was produced to the Bell Inquiry, but there are legal and associated considerations to be met and we would hope that we can draw on some of that material at least to gain efficiencies and not duplicate what's been done to date. But we would seek to draw on that also because of the need to focus on matters which are Queensland specific and specific to Queensland operations of The Star and which bear upon its suitability in this State to hold those licences.

We're giving careful consideration to the extent to which law and fairness permits the use of this material in your Inquiry and your Review and we expect to be able to report to you soon about that.

 In addition to that material we do expect to have questions and requests for information from The Star. To the extent this informs your Inquiry under s.91 we'll seek to ask you to exercise your powers under the Commissions of Inquiry Act to the extent that's necessary, but to the extent material might only be relevant to ongoing suitability, that's something to be done by the Department on advice from you, in our respectful submission, in the way the Terms of Reference work.

The specific issues which I indicated we'd mentioned which seem most appropriate to give particular focus to are

these.

One, the acceptance of China UnionPay debit cards at Queensland casinos and whether transactions were credited to patron's hotel rooms and the funds used to gamble at the casino. You might be aware there have been allegations that there was, and I think it's fair to say some acceptance by The Star in the other Inquiry, that this had occurred, at least in Sydney, and that there were practices that were inappropriate and that what it did in this regard:

obscured the true nature of the transaction and masked that fact or that the funds were being used for gaming.

The second area of focus that seems to occur to us at present is whether and to what extent, and in what circumstances, persons were allowed to gamble at The Star Gold Coast or Treasury Brisbane but who had been excluded from gambling in New South Wales and, indeed, perhaps in other States.

There are provisions in the Casino Control Act here (s.94), as well as in the New South Wales Act that empower Police Commissioners to direct a casino operator to give a person an exclusion order. The casino operator can also do so of its own accord for a person's own safety or well-being (s.92), or it can do so for persons who are problem gamblers (s.93A).

This is really a question of upholding, in our respectful submission, the efficacy of exclusions and making sure that exclusion means just what it says and the problem is not pushed over the border or avoided by crossing a State jurisdictional line.

 The third area of focus is, and a related one, were persons permitted to gamble in Queensland casinos about whom The Star - I use that term generally for a moment - had adverse information from law enforcement agencies and, fourth, whether the apparent weaknesses in The Star's anti-money laundering arrangements are the same for Queensland as may have been the case in New South Wales.

We do hope, Mr Gotterson, consistent with the more focused nature of this Inquiry, to call witnesses in the last week of August before you in public hearings and we'd ask that you reserve 23 to 26 and 29 August of this year for that purpose.

We do not yet know the identity of witnesses but we'd expect them to be in these broad categories: Those concerned with gambling harm from Queensland casinos. Those dealing with the exclusion, or non-exclusion as the case may be, of persons, including those excluded from casinos elsewhere who were permitted, encouraged even perhaps, to gamble at Star casinos in Queensland. The third category is the nature of The Star's operations here in Queensland and to what extent, if at all, they differ from those which have been the subject of the Bell Inquiry in New South Wales. For that purpose we think we'll have to call at least one witness or more from The Star to ascertain those matters.

As you mentioned, Mr Gotterson, the time at which you report requires considerable efficiency and focus by us and by parties. We do not wish to re-cover ground if it's unnecessary to do so. The time available is not long. Matters have already been explored in at least one other forum, and to some extent we would think adequately for present purposes.

There is also of course as previously mentioned the fact there have been many departures from senior management of The Star and one would hope there is a sense of beginning afresh down a different path.

Since the Inquiry and Review was announced a small contingent within the Office of Liquor and Gaming Regulation have been assisting us with logistics for today, gathering documents for the Review. We would hope soon to have solicitors appointed to assist once procurement tender processes have been completed.

Meanwhile Ms Hellewell, junior counsel assisting me, and I have been reading the material that is publicly available in the Bell Inquiry so that we can understand what territory has already been covered and to what extent relevant to Queensland.

We've liaised with interested parties, particularly in the field of gambling harm. We've read the reports of the Crown Casino's inquiries interstate to better understand

the sector and the kinds of issues which have arisen in those contexts in recent times. I understand you too have had access to those reports, all of which are publicly available.

In terms of the advice that you've been asked to give to the ongoing suitability review by the Department, we will assist in gathering the necessary material. As I indicated it may be that you advise on the steps which might be taken in the course of that investigation to ensure its efficiency, its focus and its efficacy, and that advice as you've noted in the Terms of Reference requires it be provided to the Attorney-General.

In terms of Part C, the final part of the Terms of Reference, we mention a few matters we might consider bears upon your consideration of enhanced regulation.

First, the Queensland Casino Control Act was passed in 1982. It was modelled on the New Jersey regulatory regime, which was then in place and considered then by many to be the best in the world. One question we wish to explore in our work for you is whether this model remains apt for modern circumstances, and in light of the events in recent times which we have referred to above.

Second, the regulatory regime in Queensland was modelled, as I've said, on the New Jersey regime but which is one that comes from the 1970s. Changes were made to it in accordance with recommendations of Justice Lusher who'd conducted an inquiry in New South Wales in that decade. That inquiry concerned the legalisation of casinos. Sir Laurence Street conducted a similar further Commission in 1991.

It was in 1981 that Cabinet in Queensland approved in principle the establishment of two casinos, which began the industry as we see it today and the different form into which it's evolved.

When that Act was passed, recognising it was now some time ago, the then Deputy Premier and Treasurer noted the importance of casino operators having integrity. This was said in the Hansard in the second reading speech for the bill: It is clear to me that unless a casino licence holder and those associated with the operation are persons of proven integrity, the Government will be faced with

immense control problems in the future. It is therefore important that the Governor in Council be fully satisfied that such persons are suitable in all the respects laid down in the relevant clause of the Act.

The Deputy Premier and Treasurer noted the very real risk of casinos attracting illicit and antisocial activities and behaviour. The then Deputy Premier and Treasurer said this:

A regulatory system that is initially above reproach may be corrupted over time without the application of a constantly vigilant. competent and honest control personnel and management staff. Any unsavoury seepage of this kind must be rejected if the public confidence and trust that are so essential for a successful operation are to be obtained and if the good reputation and honour of this Government is to be preserved. I do not want to labour to excess the point of integral and essential element of the regulation control of casino facilitated by the State rests in public confidence and trust in the credibility, integrity of casino operations and control functions.

 It can be seen in our respectful submission that this regulatory regime is one which was always thought to need constant diligence and fine-tuning. The review part of your function we read as being an occasion to ask whether and in what way this regime might be updated and better adapted to meet the problems that have become apparent in recent years, and indeed because of the different and evolving and modern nature of casinos as we now see them in this State.

One particular aspect we are interested in exploring is whether, in terms of Part C in the Terms of Reference, there ought to be periodic external reviews. At present this is not a feature of the Queensland regime but it does exist for example in New South Wales and it might give the ability to do a real due diligence from time to time on the operations of casinos and their operators to check they remain lawful, orderly and act with integrity.

Your Terms of Reference make specific reference to inviting submissions on Part C, which is the enhanced regulation component of your functions. Could I, with respect, repeat your invitation to those wishing to make submissions on this part to do so as soon as possible. We as counsel assisting would benefit in assisting you from input from the public, from experts and from all people and bodies with an interest in how casinos might be better regulated, to give their ideas, their experience, their expertise. This is a moment we wish to submit at which there's an open avenue towards these important questions.

You've considered on the papers and granted leave to appear with respect to Part A to the entities mentioned this morning by Mr Beacham. I also understand that you'll soon make and publish procedural guidance for principally the Inquiry part of your functions, and you've published on the Inquiry website, Mr Gotterson, that you require that any persons wanting leave to appear or to be legally represented at any public sitting to send a brief written application to the website thestarreview@qld.gov.au as soon as possible but by no later hopefully than 18 July 2022. This will allow time for the consideration of these opening remarks by those parties who are considering their course and for interested persons then to consider their positions.

 A web page has been established to give information about the Inquiry and Review. That's on the Department of Justice and Attorney-General's website and it can be found under the project and initiatives tabs.

Mr Gotterson, these are the matters which we to date as counsel assisting at this early stage of work had by opening remarks. We'll set about collating documents and engaging with The Star's legal representatives about procedural and related matters, and indeed with any other parties who are granted leave to appear.

What we propose at this stage, unless things change, you'd sit again to commence public hearings in those dates in August I've mentioned, commencing Tuesday 23 August.

Unless there's anything further that's what we had by way of opening remarks, Mr Gotterson.

MR GOTTERSON: Very well. I should indicate at this point,

1	thank you for the opening remarks and that I will reserve
2	the dates in August that you mentioned for public hearings.
3	
4	MR HORTON: Thank you.
5	
6	MR GOTTERSON: I wonder if Mr Beacham may wish to make some
7	submissions at this point?
8	
9	MR BEACHAM: The only thing we wish to say, Mr Gotterson,
10	is that The Star Entertainment Group will be fully
11	cooperating with this Inquiry.
12	
13	MR GOTTERSON: Thank you. That is gratifying to hear and
14	thank you for that.
15	
16	I think on that note we will adjourn now to 23 August 2022.
17	Adjourn the hearing.
18	
19	ADJOURNED UNTIL TUESDAY 23 AUGUST 2022